

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/594,308                             | 09/27/2006                  | Yasuyuki Arai        | 0756-7836           | 3549             |  |
| 31780 7590 07/06/2009<br>ERIC ROBINSON |                             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| PMB 955 WANG, JACK                     |                             |                      |                     | JACK K           |  |
| 21010 SOUTH<br>POTOMAC F               | IBANK ST.<br>ALLS, VA 20165 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| - 0 - 0                                |                             |                      | 2612                |                  |  |
|  |                             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |                             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |                             |                      | 07/06/2009          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

|            | Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/594,308 |                 | ARAI ET AL.  |  |  |
|            | Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
|            | JACK WANG       | 2612         |  |  |

|  | JACK WANG   | 2612   |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.  |  |
| <ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendor<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance  | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.   |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  | date of the final rejection                                | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date<br>have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the self to the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latter<br>may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |
|  | t prior to the data of films a brief  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowing)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett application in bett application.</li> </ol>  | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);   | TE below);   |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE:  | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co.  | mpliant Amendment (I                                       | PTOL-324)                                |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>   |   |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |   | timely filed amendmer                                      | nt canceling the                         |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |   | I be entered and an e                                      | xplanation of                            |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | al and/or appellant fail:                                  | s to provide a                           |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |
| See Continuation Sheet.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   | 1 1 O/OB/00) 1 aper 140(3).   |  |  |
| /Daniel Wu/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612  |   |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Office Action appears to confuse the claim term "package" and "product". The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As dearning that the distinction of the distinctionary com the term "package" is defined as "a bundle of something", and the term "product" as defined as totality of goods or services that a company makes available. During examination, claims are given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification and limitations in the specification are not read into the claims. Therefore, the Bridgelall teaches the product as each indivadual boxes as shown in 62, Fig. 10, whereas the package as plurality of boxes stake-up together as shown in 60, Fig. 10.